



By Subscription No. 1000 112. f. 44
E L E G Y

On the Much Lamented Death of his Grace the Duke of ARGYLE and Greenwich, who departed this Life, on Monday the 3^d of this instant October at his Grace's Seat at Sand-brook near Petersham in Surry in the 78th. Year of his Age.

YE Muses Nine, put on your Sable Drefs,
 And joyn with me in sorrow to rehearse;
 The Loss, the greatest loss we ever had,
 'Tis such a Loss. will make all England sad.
 But still alas! a Comfort we obtain,
 That his Departure, is his Glorious Gain.

A finer Patriot, surely ne'er was mis't,
 Then brave ARGYLE whose gone to heavenly Bliss.
 No golden Idol, e'er could sway his Mind,
 But steady to his Trust he was inclin'd;
 His only Study was for to preserve
 His Country's Right, from which he never swerv'd
 His Courage bold, and from Courption free,
 He valued not the threats of M---y.
 Their Gaudy Titles he did still disdain,
 And always spoke his Mind both free and plain.

When he was jogg'd by any Brother P--r,
 To Vote against his Mind; He would not hear;
 He scorn'd to Falt'er from his Noble Mind,
 Which only to do Justice was inclin'd.
 By which he gain'd his Country Peoples Heart,
 And seem'd displeas'd, when e'er he did depart.
 But when their welfare called him away,
 They parted with him shouting loud Huzzay's;
 With Acclamations of his safe Return,
 For which their Hearts most ardently did burn.

No sooner had he Trod the English Shore,
 But there were still Rejoyeings more and more;

O! had there been but Issue of his Loins,
 A Noble Heart had then been left behind,
 That we might had a second brave ARGYLE.
 Which would have caus'd the People still to smile,
 But now alas! all Joy and Comfort's fled,
 Since our Defender brave A---E is Dead;
 And now there is none left to take their Part;
 They must with Patience bear the Yoke at last.

A N
EPITAPH

WITHIN this Urn, Lyes one whose Soul is
 (Blest,
 Crown'd with Eternal Glory, heavenly Rest,
 When Living bore a firm and stiddy Mind,
 And to his Country prov'd a Father, kind,
 Who while on Earth made it is only Care,
 His Country of his Labour should take share
 O! may his Name in lasting Memory be,
 From Age, to Age, to all Eternity.

LONDON. Printed for T. Davis in Fleet-Street.

Copy of the Honourable *George Thomas*, and *George Lucas*,
Esquires, Protests against the Proceedings of the Council of
Antigua, in reducing the Secretary's Fees.

ANTIGUA: Council-Chamber, June 5th, 1734.

A Question having been put, and carry'd at the last Meeting in the Affirmative, " That Mr. Secretary *Smith*, taking such Fees contrary to, and exceeding, the said Docket; and " contrary to the present Act of Courts, is illegal.

The Honourable *George Thomas*, Esquire, pursuant to his Desire at the said Meeting, gave in his Reasons accordingly, which were ordered to be entered in the Journals of this Board, viz.

Dissentient

1st, Because it appears to me, that although a Docket was settled for the Secretary, on the 31st of January 1703. it was little regarded, even by that part of the Legislature by which it was settled; for by an Act passed by them on the 8th Day of February, in the very same Year, Intituled, An Act for Establishing of Courts, &c. all former Acts relating to the Courts were annulled and repealed, new Methods and Forms of Business introduced, and the Courts of Kings-Bench and Common-Pleas were empowered to tax Costs at Discretion, which Costs consist in a great Measure of the Secretary's Fees, and which have been accordingly taxed and allowed by the Judges of the said Courts, in Opposition to the Docket for full 30 Years past.

2dly, Because by another Act, dated in February 1711, wherein it is enacted, that as soon as a Table of Officers Fees shall be duly settled and allowed by the General and Council of this Island, a Copy thereof shall be hung up in the proper Offices, &c. it appears to me, that the Docket of 1703 was thought unduly settled, absurd or insufficient. The Acts therefore of 1718 and 1721, obliging the Secretary to fix up his Docket under the Penalty of 100l. &c. must in my Opinion, to make the Legislature consistent, mean the customary Docket, the settled Docket of 1703, not having been regarded by them as such, as appears by the two forementioned Acts of 1703 and 1711.

3dly, Because it is prov'd by Mr. Secretary *Smith*, and allow'd by the ASSEMBLY, that the said *Smith* hath not made or increased one single Fee since he came to his Office, and that the same Fees have been taken by his Predecessors for 15, 20, or near 30 Years past; which, I conceive, does give Mr. *Smith* a just Title to such Fees; for, by His late Majesty's Patent, the Offices of Secretary and Clerk of the Crown, are granted to the said *Smith*, to have, hold, exercise, and enjoy the said Offices or Places, together with all Fees, Profits, Rights, Perquisites, and Advantages thereunto belonging, in as full and ample Manner, as any Person or Persons hath or have formerly held and enjoyed the same. And I am the more confirmed in this Opinion by His present Most Gracious Majesty's 57th Instruction, communicated this Day by His Excellency the chief Governour, in the following Words. And It is our express Will and Pleasure that you do countenance and give all due Encouragement to all our Patent Officers in the Enjoyment of their legal and accustomed Fees, Rights, Privileges, and Emoluments, according to the true Intent and Meaning of their Patents.

4thly, Because I conceive the chief Governours and Council must have entertained an Opinion of the Right Mr. Secretary's Predecessors had to the Fees they took, from their not having redress'd what the Assembly alledges was so often complain'd of as a Grievance, especially as the Royal Instructions have always authoriz'd and directed them so to do, and as they must have been sensible, that their declining it must have confirmed Mr. Secretary's Predecessors in their Opinion of the Legality of such Fees.

5thly, Because this Vote prejudices a Matter, which we are informed will be soon brought before us, in a judicial Capacity, as a Court of Errors, and because it has put me under a Necessity of giving such Reasons for my Dissent, as, I conceive, may be justly objected to my sitting as a Judge in that Court.

GEO. THOMAS.

A true Copy

Pat. Wilson, D. Secretary.

January the 16th, 1734.

" THE Question being put, whether Mr. *Smith* ought to be paid by the publick of this Island for transcribing the Minutes of Council, to be sent home to the Lords of Trade?
" Or whether it be his duty to do it *ex officio*?
" It was carried, that he ought to do it *ex officio*."

Dissentient

Dissentient

1st, Because it is my Opinion, that his Majesty's order, this Day produced by Mr. Secretary *Smith*, in Favour of Mr. *Whitworth*, Secretary of *Barbadoes*, recommending Payment for transcribing Minutes of Council transmitted to the Lords of Trade, and doing other Business for the Publick there, according to the usual and accustomed Fees given upon the like Occasions ought to govern in Mr. *Smith's* Case; *Charles Hedges*, and *Gilbert Fleming*, Esqs; having been heretofore paid for the like Business, as appears by the Minutes of this Board, of the 6th of July, 1719: And the 3^d of November, 1720. And because I am not sensible that Mr. Secretary's Behaviour in the Execution of his office has been such as to forfeit either the Character given in a Message from this Board to the Assembly, on the 28th of February, 1728, of his having acted in his Post with great *Faithfulness*, *Diligence*, and *Capacity*, or the Fees then allowed him. For this expresse Reason, because the several Articles of his Account were allowed to his Predecessors.

2^{dly}, Because I apprehend the Committee of this Board, appointed to answer Mr. Secretary's Representation, are mistaken in imputing the Payment of Mr. Secretary's Account to the Influence or Vote of the then Chief Governour, having had the Honour to observe for near seven Years past, that the Members of this Board were not to be influenced by any Person whatsoever, to act contrary to his Majesty's Service, or the Right of his Subjects, and because that Account was passed by a Majority of Votes exclusive of the then Chief Governour's Vote (if he did vote at all) and so remarkably free from Influence was his Honour Lieutenant Governour *Byam*, that although he voted for the Account in general, he excepted to Mr. Secretary's being paid for one Quarter's Minutes, because he had not delivered them within the Time limited by his Majesty's Instructions. But to put this Matter, as I conceive, beyond all Exception, another Account of Mr. Secretary's, consisting of the same Articles of Business, was Past by this Board on the 29th of May, 1731, when no Chief Governour was present, neither could Mr. Secretary, in my Opinion, wait for favourable Opportunities for passing his Accounts contrary to the Assembly's Order, for bringing in all publick Accounts once a year, as seems to be hinted in the said Committee's Report, that Order not having been made till the 17th of April, 1732.

" The Question being put; whether the present General, with Advice and Consent of Council, has Power, by virtue of his Commission and Instructions, to regulate and establish a Docket of Admiralty Fees?
" It was resolved in the affirmative."

Dissentient

Because this Question does not seem to me to arise properly out of the Debate on foot concerning the Validity of the Docket of Admiralty Fees, settled by General *Codrington* without Consent of Council: For although it should be admitted that the present Chief Governour has Power to regulate and establish a Docket with Consent of Council already established by a former Chief Governour, it does not follow that a former Chief Governour had not Power so to do without Consent of Council; for to me it is evident that General *Codrington*, by virtue of his commission, produced and read by Mr. Secretary, had such Power.

2^{dly}, Because I am in doubt, whether it be intended by his Majesty's Instructions, that a Chief Governour should diminish Fees already settled by a former Governour in Prejudice of his Majesty's Letters Patent granting all legal and accustomed Fees, since such Power may, in my humble Opinion, be sometimes exercised through dislike, to the Prejudice of the Patentees and his Majesty's gracious Intentions be thereby defeated.

" The Question being put; whether the whole Docket proposed by the Gentlemen appointed, as it now stands altered, shall be agreed to by this Board?
" It was carried in the affirmative."

Dissentient

Because agreeing to a Docket consisting of near 300 Articles, in two short Meetings, may, I fear, carry the Appearance of too implicate a Condescension, to the Opinion of Gentlemen, who, by their Profession, may be interested in reducing the Secretary's Fees; the Observation that very low Office Fees multiply Clients, being, in my Opinion, as true as it is common.

January 23^d, 1734.

" Ordered by his Honour the Lieutenant Governour, that Collonel *Morris*, and Mr. *Duer* be appointed a Committee to draw up a Reply to Mr. *Smith's* further Representation given in this Day and read at this Board, and that they have a Copy of Mr. *Smith's* said Representation, and the said Paper relating to the Secretary of *Barbadoes*, and have Access to the Records in the Secretary's Office. And that as Mr. *Smith* was assisted by Council in drawing up his said Representation, the said Committee are empowered to employ Council at the publick Expence to assist them in drawing the Reply to the said Representation."

Dissentient

Because I conceive it wou'd have been more regular, and was more properly within the Province of the Assembly in Support of their Remonstrance against Mr. Secretary to have given an Answer to his Representation. And that this Board, as Judges between both, should have reserved themselves for Judgment.

June 18, 1735.

" The said Committee likewise delivered in a Docket of the Secretary's Fees, agreed to by this Board, on the 16th of January, 1734, to which the Council made an Addition of two Articles, and then again approved the whole, and ordered it to be entred upon the Council-book, which was then done; the said Docket, and the said Report, are to be delivered to his Honour the Lieutenant Governour, and ordered to be transmitted to his Excellency."

Dissentient,



Dissentient

Because the Docket now agreed to, and ordered to be transmitted to his Excellency, is, I conceive, injurious both to his late Majesty's Letters Patent to Mr. Smith, and contrary to his present Majesty's Instructions to the Chief Governour of the *Leeward Islands*, since it hath reduced the Fees of the Court of Admiralty settled agreeable to the Powers given by his Majesty King *William* the third to *Christopher Codrington*, Esq; then Chief Governour of the *Leeward Islands*. And since it hath likewise reduced the Fees (which are the better half of the Fees of the Office) given by the Courts in all Bills of Costs, for above thirty Years past, agreeable, as I conceive, to a Clause of an Act passed in Council the same Day the Docket of 1703 was past, in the following Words, — And be it further enacted by the Authority aforesaid, that *all Costs of Suit*, other than what is given by the Verdict, shall be adjusted and taxed at the *Discretion of the Court*, who are hereby likewise impowered to increase the Costs so given by Verdict, if they shall think it convenient so to do. And since it appears by the Deposition of Mr. *Thomas Kerby*, and other Proofs, that the said Fees of 1 l. 8 s. 3 d. taxed in Costs and claimed by Mr. *Smith* were received by the said *Kerby* and all succeeding Secretaries from 1703 to this Time; and that the Fees of 13 s. 6 d. for an Execution and Bill of Costs, which are now likewise reduced, were allowed by the Court upon formal Application made by Mr. Secretary *Hedges*, and received for above twenty Years past.

2dly, Because, contrary to the Report of the Committee approved of by this Board, which asserts that Mr. *Kerby* constantly observed the Docket of 1703, to the Year 1711, except in one Particular of entring an Action, it appears to me from several Bills of Cost within that Time, that the said *Kerby* did charge in 1707, 16 s. 6 d. for an Attachment, instead of 4 s. 6 d. settled by the Docket of 1703, and 6 s. for an Order on a Motion instead of 1 s. 6 d. and in 1708, 12 s. for a Writ of Error instead of 6 s. and in 1710, 1 l. 4 s. 9 d. for a Commission of Rebellion instead of 18 s. 9 d. besides many other Particulars too long to be here enumerated.

3dly, Because in the old Docket of 1703, (to which a very religious Adherence has been professed by this Board) the Fee of One Pound *per Cent.* allowed for underwriting for any Sum above 50 l. is reduced to One Shilling, from a Surmise of its having been a Mistake, whereas it appeared from the Information of Mr. *Kerby* to this Board, that it was intended to prevent malicious Underwritings for great Sums.

4thly, Because I think it unreasonable, that the Declaration made by Mr. *Hedges*, and so much insisted upon by the Committee, of his adhering to the Docket of 1703, should affect Mr. *Smith's* Rights, since it fully appears by the Deposition of Mr. *Kerby*, how ignorant Mr. *Hedges* was at that Time of the Business of the Office, and how dearly he paid Mr. *Kerby* for his Instructions and Assistance; and since it is acknowledged, in the same Deposition, that the Fees of 1 l. 8 s. 3 d. and 13 s. 6 d. had been and were at that very time demanded and taken by them the said *Hedges* and *Kerby*, and because I cannot conceive how the other Minute referred to by the said Committee can conclude any more, than that the Fees demanded by Mr. *Smith* for a Petition in Council were not agreeable to any Docket or Custom he then knew of, and that he very candidly acknowledged his Error and gave it up.

5thly, Because the Fees of this Docket fall much short of even the Docket of 1703, since by the Deposition of Mr. *Kerby* it appears, that *Pistoles* which pass'd for 28 s. weighed *four Penny weight, and six Grains*, whereas they are still current at 28 s. although they weigh but barely four Penny weight, and that Exchange was from 40 to 50 *per Cent.*, whereas it is now and has been for many Years past from 55 to 70 *per Cent.*; and since by a general Law of the *Leeward Islands* pass'd in 1691. *French Crowns* were made current at 6 s. whereas they now pass for 7 s. and are with half Crowns and *French Coins* of less Value, full one half of all the Silver among us: And although it be allowed in the said Committee's Report, that Mr. Secretary may refuse to receive Silver otherwise than agreeable to the Proclamation, and assented that the Proclamation does not relate to Gold; it is plain from the Smallness of the Articles of the new Docket, that he must receive Silver at the present Currency or nothing; for although Sugar be, as is alledged, a good Tender in Trade, it is evident how difficult, nay impossible it is, to execute any Office upon that Foot, where the Fees are generally under ten Shillings, and many not above one, and in a Country too where fresh Provisions of all kinds must be paid for in ready Money.

6thly, Because I think it will not be possible for Mr. Secretary to execute his Office upon the Fees of this Docket, which seem to me to have been calculated rather for an Hackney Clerk than an Officer of Trust; for allowing the gross Produce of the Office to have amounted to 1700 l. *per annum*, according to the Information of Mr. *Freeman* given to the Committee (which however according to the best of my Remembrance, who was a joint Arbitrator with Mr. *Freeman* on those Accompts, did not amount to near the Sum) as this Docket reduces the Value of the Office to one half of what was then received by the customary Docket, and as Mr. Secretary does now, and has generally paid upwards of 200 l. *per Annum* for the Hire of three or more Clerks, besides providing Meat, Drink, Washing and Lodging for two or more of them, upwards of 80 l. *per Annum* for an House and Office till he bought both, 50 l. *per Annum* at least for the purchase and keeping of two Horses to attend Meetings of the Council and Assembly and Courts at Places distant from his Abode, and to collect the Debts of his Office, besides his Loss upon those Debts by the Insolvency of the Contractors, or by taking Sugar or Rum at the Price current, Pens, Ink, and Paper, and many other Charges amounting to no inconsiderable Sum in the Year, I am of Opinion there will not be left sufficient to provide even a mean Support for a Family in a Country where the most frugal Merchants through the Dearness of Provisions cannot live under 7 or 800 l. *per Annum*; so that I conceive the Committee's Offer of 600 l. *per Annum* will not be thought to have been founded on a very exact Calculation of the Expence attending the Execution of this Office. And because it is well known that Mr. Secretary *Hedges*, who was remarkable for his Frugality, and cannot be supposed to have given more than one half to Mr. *Kerby* for his Information and Assistance stood in need of the Benevolence of his Friends here, when he